

BELYI MAPS AND DESSINS D'ENFANTS

LECTURE 15

SAM SCHIAVONE

CONTENTS

I. Review	1
II. Monodromy and Fuchsian groups	1
II.1. Monodromy via Fuchsian groups	1
III. Galois coverings and morphisms, revisited	4
III.1. Review	4
III.2. More topology	4
III.3. Galois groups and monodromy groups	5

I. REVIEW

Last time we:

- (1) Given a Fuchsian group Γ and a fundamental domain D for Γ , showed how we can obtain a fundamental domain for any subgroup as a union of translates of D .
- (2) Applied this in the particular case of $\Gamma = \Gamma(1) = \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the subgroup $\Gamma(2)$.
- (3) Specialized some results on covering spaces and monodromy to the particular case of the covering $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ where Γ is a Fuchsian group.
- (4) In particular, used Riemann-Hurwitz to give a formula for the genus of $\Gamma(N) \backslash \mathfrak{H}$.

II. MONODROMY AND FUCHSIAN GROUPS

II.1. Monodromy via Fuchsian groups. Recall that, given a morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$, the monodromy of F describes the action of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ on a fiber of F . We can reinterpret this in terms of Fuchsian groups as well.

Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Riemann surfaces and let $B \subseteq Y$ be its set of ramification values. Let $Y^* = Y \setminus B$, and let $X^* = F^{-1}(Y^*)$ so $F|_{X^*} : X^* \rightarrow Y^*$ is an unramified covering. Applying the uniformization theorem, we obtain Fuchsian groups $\Gamma_X \leq \Gamma_Y$ such that

$$X^* \cong \Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H} \quad Y^* \cong \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H}$$

as well as a morphism $G : \Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H} \\
F|_{X^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow G \\
Y^* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H}
\end{array}$$

Since $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H} \cong Y^*$ is the universal cover of Y^* and $\text{Deck}(\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow Y^*) \cong \Gamma_Y$, then

$$\pi_1(Y^*) \cong \Gamma_Y.$$

Given $y \in Y$, then y corresponds to $[z_0]_{\Gamma_Y} \in \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ for some $z_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$. (Here $[\cdot]_{\Gamma_Y}$ denotes the equivalence class modulo the action of Γ_Y .) Moreover, by commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathfrak{H} & & \\
\varphi_X \downarrow & \searrow \varphi_Y & \\
\Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{G} & \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H}
\end{array} \tag{1}$$

given $y \in Y$, the fiber $G^{-1}(y)$ is

$$\{[\beta(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X} : \beta \in \Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y\}$$

where β ranges over a set of right coset representatives for $\Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y$. Thus we have a bijection

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi : \Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y &\rightarrow G^{-1}(y) \\
\Gamma_X \beta &\mapsto [\beta(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We want to reinterpret the monodromy representation in terms of the groups Γ_X and Γ_Y using the above bijection. Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G^{-1}(y))$$

be the monodromy representation of G . Given $\gamma \in \Gamma_Y$, we describe the action of the permutation $\rho(\gamma)$ on $\Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y$ as follows.

Under the isomorphism $\Gamma \cong \pi_1(Y^*)$, γ corresponds to a loop c on Y^* . Choose a lift $\tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ of c to the universal cover \mathfrak{H} starting at the point z_0 , and its terminal point is $\gamma(z_0)$. On the other hand, we can also lift c to the cover $X^* \cong \Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H}$: let \tilde{c}_X be the lift of c to X^* with initial point $[\beta(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X}$. We now determine the relationship between $\tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and \tilde{c}_X .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& \tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}} & \\
& \mathfrak{H} & \\
\varphi_X \downarrow & \searrow \varphi_Y & \\
\Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H} & \xrightarrow{G} & \Gamma_Y \backslash \mathfrak{H} \\
& \tilde{c}_X & c
\end{array} \tag{3}$$

Consider the path $\beta \circ \tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ on \mathfrak{H} , which has initial point $\beta(z_0)$ and terminal point $\beta\gamma(z_0)$. (Note that $\beta \in \Gamma_X \subseteq \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{H}) \cong \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, so β is an automorphism of \mathfrak{H} .) Then $\varphi_X \circ \beta \circ \tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a path on $X \cong \Gamma_X \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ with initial point $[\beta(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X}$ and terminal point $[\beta\gamma(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X}$.

Since $\varphi_X \circ \beta \circ \tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and \tilde{c}_X are both lifts of c to X starting at the point $[\beta(z_0)]_{\Gamma_X}$, by commutativity of the diagram (3), we have $\varphi_X \circ \beta \circ \tilde{c}_{\mathfrak{H}} = \tilde{c}_X$. In particular, then they have the same terminal points, so the terminal point of \tilde{c}_X is $[\beta\gamma(z_0)]_X$.

Under the correspondence between the fiber $G^{-1}(y)$ and the coset space $\Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y$ given by (2), the coset $\Gamma_X \beta$ is mapped to $\Gamma_X \beta \gamma$. However(!), recall that this gives a right action on the fiber. In order to obtain the associated left action, we must take an inverse. Thus $\rho(\gamma)$ maps $\Gamma_X \beta \mapsto \Gamma_X \beta \gamma^{-1}$ and the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \longmapsto & \rho(\gamma) x \\ G^{-1}(y) & \xrightarrow{\rho(\gamma)} & G^{-1}(y) \\ \Phi \uparrow & & \uparrow \Phi \\ \Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y & \xrightarrow{\rho(\gamma)} & \Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y \\ \Gamma_X \beta & \longmapsto & \Gamma_X \beta \gamma^{-1} \end{array}$$

Lemma 1. *With notation as above, the stabilizer of a coset $\Gamma_X \beta \in \Gamma_X \backslash \Gamma_Y$ is*

$$\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_Y}(\Gamma_X \beta) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma_Y : \Gamma_X \beta = \Gamma \beta \gamma\} = \beta^{-1} \Gamma_X \beta.$$

Proof. Given an element $\beta^{-1} \gamma \beta \in \beta^{-1} \Gamma_X \beta$, then

$$\Gamma_X \beta \beta^{-1} \gamma \beta = \Gamma_X \gamma \beta = \Gamma_X \beta$$

so $\beta^{-1} \gamma \beta$ stabilizes $\Gamma_X \beta$.

Conversely, suppose $\gamma \in \Gamma_Y$ stabilizes $\Gamma_X \beta$, so $\Gamma_X \beta \gamma^{-1} = \Gamma_X \beta$. Then $\Gamma_X = \Gamma_X \beta \gamma \beta^{-1}$, so $\beta \gamma \beta^{-1} \in \Gamma_X$. Thus

$$\gamma = \beta^{-1} (\beta \gamma \beta^{-1}) \beta \in \beta^{-1} \Gamma_X \beta.$$

□

Remark 2. Taking $\beta = 1$, then in particular

$$\Gamma_X = \text{Stab}_{\Gamma_Y}(\Gamma_X).$$

This shows how to recover Γ_X from the monodromy representation as the stabilizer of an element of the fiber. For instance, suppose $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a Belyi map. By the uniformization theorem, then there exists a triangle group $\Delta := \Delta(a, b, c)$ and a subgroup $\Gamma \leq \Delta$ such that $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\} \cong \Delta \backslash \mathfrak{H}$ and $X^* \cong \Gamma \backslash \mathfrak{H}$, where $X^* = X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\{0, 1, \infty\})$. Choose a base point $z_0 \in \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, and label the points of the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(z_0)$, so

$$\varphi^{-1}(z_0) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$$

where d is the degree of φ . By the above, this is equivalent to choosing a set of representatives $\Gamma \beta_1, \dots, \Gamma \beta_d$ for the coset space $\Gamma \backslash \Delta$. Recall that $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\})$ has presentation

$$\langle \eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_\infty \mid \eta_\infty \eta_1 \eta_0 = 1 \rangle$$

where $\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_\infty$ are homotopy classes represented by small loops around 0, 1, and ∞ , respectively. Let $\rho : \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}) \rightarrow S_d$ be the monodromy representation of φ , and let $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_\infty$ be the images of $\eta_0, \eta_1, \eta_\infty$ under ρ . Since X^* uniformized by $\Delta = \Delta(a, b, c)$,

then $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_\infty$ have orders a, b, c in S_d . Thus ρ descends to a homomorphism $\bar{\rho} : \Delta \rightarrow S_d$ such that the diagram below commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S_d \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{\rho} & \\ \Delta & & \end{array}$$

By the lemma above, given just the permutations $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_\infty$, we can recover Γ as

$$\Gamma = \text{Stab}_\Delta(1)$$

where Δ acts on $\{1, \dots, d\}$ via the identification with the set $\{\Gamma\beta_1, \dots, \Gamma\beta_d\}$, or equivalently, via the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} : \Delta &\rightarrow S_d \\ \delta_a, \delta_b, \delta_c &\mapsto \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. If we chose a different numbering for the coset representatives, we would obtain a conjugate (and hence isomorphic) subgroup Γ as the stabilizer of 1.

III. GALOIS COVERINGS AND MORPHISMS, REVISITED

III.1. Review. Recall the definition of a Galois morphism of Riemann surfaces.

Definition 4. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Riemann surfaces with ramification values $B \subseteq Y$. Then F is Galois if for each $y \in Y$, $\text{Deck}(X \xrightarrow{F} Y)$ acts transitively on the fiber $F^{-1}(y)$.

We also gave a field theoretic characterization of Galois morphisms. Let L/K be a finite extension of fields. Recall that the following are equivalent.

- (1) L/K is Galois.
- (2) L/K is normal and separable.
- (3) $\#\text{Aut}(L/K) = [L : K]$.
- (4) $L^{\text{Aut}(L/K)} = K$, i.e., the fixed field of $\text{Aut}(L/K)$ is K .

Given a morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of Riemann surfaces, there is an induced extension of function fields:

$$\begin{aligned} F^* : \mathcal{M}(Y) &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(X) \\ h &\mapsto h \circ F. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. A morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$ of Riemann surfaces is Galois iff the induced function field extension $\mathcal{M}(X)/F^*\mathcal{M}(Y)$ is Galois.

III.2. More topology. Let $(X, x), (Y, y)$ be pointed topological spaces, and let $F : (X, x) \rightarrow (Y, y)$ be a continuous map of pointed spaces (i.e., a continuous map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ with $F(x) = y$). Given a loop $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$, then

$$[0, 1] \xrightarrow{\gamma} X \xrightarrow{F} Y$$

is a loop in Y . One can show that this descends to a map

$$\begin{aligned} F_* : \pi_1(X, x) &\rightarrow \pi_1(Y, y) \\ [\gamma] &\mapsto [F \circ \gamma] \end{aligned}$$

on fundamental groups. Moreover, F_* is a homomorphism of groups.

Proposition 6. *Let $F : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (X, x)$ be a covering map. Then the induced map*

$$F_* : \pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$$

is injective.

Proof. This basically follows from the uniqueness of liftings of paths and homotopies to a covering space. Suppose $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a loop in \tilde{X} such that

$$[c] = 1 = F_*([\tilde{\gamma}]) = [F \circ \tilde{\gamma}],$$

where c is the constant path at x . Then there exists a homotopy H from $F \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ to c . By homotopy lifting, this lifts to a homotopy \tilde{H} from $\tilde{\gamma}$ to \tilde{c} , where \tilde{c} is the constant path at \tilde{x} . Thus

$$[\tilde{\gamma}] = [\tilde{c}] = 1.$$

□

Recall that $\pi_1(X, x)$ acts on the fiber $F^{-1}(x)$ by path lifting, and this action is how the monodromy group is defined.

Proposition 7. *Let $F : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a covering map of topological spaces and assume that \tilde{X} is path-connected. Then for each $\tilde{x} \in F^{-1}(x)$,*

$$\text{Stab}_{\pi_1(X, x)}(\tilde{x}) = F_*\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}).$$

Proof. Given $[\gamma] \in \pi_1(X, x)$, let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be the path lift of γ to \tilde{X} starting at \tilde{x} . Since $[\gamma]$ stabilizes \tilde{x} , then $\tilde{\gamma}$ has endpoint \tilde{x} , as well. Thus $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a loop in \tilde{X} , so $[\tilde{\gamma}] \in \pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x})$, hence

$$[\gamma] = [F \circ \tilde{\gamma}] = F_*([\tilde{\gamma}]) \in F_*\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}).$$

Conversely, given $[\gamma] = F_*([\tilde{\gamma}]) \in F_*\pi_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x})$, then $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the (unique) lift of γ to \tilde{X} starting at \tilde{x} . And since $\tilde{\gamma}$ starts and ends at \tilde{x} (it's a loop), then $[\gamma]$ stabilizes \tilde{x} . □

III.3. Galois groups and monodromy groups.

Proposition 8. *If $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois morphism of Riemann surfaces, then $\text{Deck}(X/Y) \cong \text{Mon}(F)$.*

Remark 9. We have previously seen that in this case, we have $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(X)/\mathcal{M}(Y)) \cong \text{Deck}(X/Y)$, so

$$\text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(X)/\mathcal{M}(Y)) \cong \text{Deck}(X/Y) \cong \text{Mon}(F).$$

Proposition 10. *Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Riemann surfaces. Then F is Galois iff $\deg(F) = \#\text{Mon}(F)$.*

Proof. The reverse implication requires some results on lifts of maps to covering spaces. □

j++i

Even if $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is not Galois, we can “extend” it into a Galois morphism. We first recall the definition of Galois closure in the case of fields.

Definition 11. Let L/K be a separable extension of fields. The Galois closure of L/K is the smallest extension E of L , by inclusion, such that E is Galois.

Remark 12.

- Given two extensions E_1, E_2 of L such that E_1/K and E_2/K are both Galois, then $E_1 \cap E_2$ is also Galois. (Here this intersection is taken inside a fixed algebraic closure of K .) Thus there is a smallest such Galois extension, so the definition above makes sense.
- In the case where $L = K(\alpha)$ is a simple extension, then the Galois closure of L/K is simply the splitting field of the minimal polynomial of α .

Definition 13. The Galois closure or normal closure of a morphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois morphism $\tilde{F} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ of smallest possible degree, together with a morphism $G : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{X} & \\ G \swarrow & \text{---} \tilde{F} \downarrow & \\ X & & Y \\ & \searrow F & \end{array}$$

Remark 14. This is exactly the morphism corresponding to the Galois closure of the function field extension $\mathcal{M}(X)/F^*\mathcal{M}(Y)$.

Theorem 15. Let $F : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of Riemann surfaces, and let $\tilde{F} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y$ be its Galois closure. Then

$$\text{Mon}(F) \cong \text{Deck} \left(\tilde{X} \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} Y \right) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathcal{M}(\tilde{X})/\tilde{F}^*\mathcal{M}(Y)).$$